arXiv:0809.0331v1 [math.AG] 1 Sep 2008

Slope Filtrations in Families

Ruochuan Liu
Department of Mathematics, Room 2-229
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
77 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02139
ruochuan@math.mit.edu

September 1, 2008

Abstract

In [1], Berger and Colmez introduced a theory of families of étale (φ, Γ)-modules associated to families of p-adic Galois representations. Inspired by their works, we consider families of ϕ-modules over a reduced affinoid algebra where the base is fixed by Frobenius actions. We prove some results in this framework including the semicontinuity of variation of HN-polygons. These results will be applied to families of (φ, Γ)-modules in subsequent works.

Contents

1 Slope theory of ϕ-modules over the Robba ring 3
1.1 The Robba ring . . . 3
1.2 Slope theory of ϕ-modules . . . 6

2 Generic and special slope filtrations 9
2.1 Dieudonné-Manin decompositions . . . 9
2.2 Generic slope filtrations . . . 10
2.3 Comparison of polygons . . . 12

3 Variation of HN-polygons 13
3.1 Local constancy of generic HN-polygons of families of overconvergent ϕ-modules . . . 14
3.2 Semicontinuity of HN-polygons of families of ϕ-modules . . . 15
3.3 An example . . . 20

Introduction

The slope filtration theorem gives a partial analogue, for a Frobenius module over the Robba ring, of the eigenspace decomposition of a linear transformation. It was originally introduced in the context of rigid cohomology by Kedlaya as the key ingredient in his proof of the Crew's conjecture. It also has important applications in p-adic Hodge theory via Berger's construction of the (φ, Γ)-modules associated to Galois representations. For instance, it allows Berger to prove Fontaine's conjecture that de Rham implies potentially semistable and to give an alternate proof of the Colmez-Fontaine theorem that weakly admissible implies admissible.

In [1], Berger and Colmez introduced a theory of families of étale (φ, Γ)-modules associated to families of p-adic Galois representations. Inspired by their works, we consider *families of ϕ-modules* over a reduced affinoid algebra A, i.e. ϕ-modules over the Robba ring with coefficients in A. In fact, in rigid cohomology [5] one is also led to study such families of ϕ-modules. The difference between these two cases is that in rigid cohomology the Frobenius acts on A as a lift of a p-power map, but in families of (φ, Γ)-modules the Frobenius does not move the base at all. The families we consider fit the latter setting. Namely, we assume that $\phi(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of A, and that ϕ induces an isometric endomorphism on $A/\mathfrak{p}$.

In this paper we attempt to explore the variation of slope filtrations of families of ϕ-modules. Recall that the original slope theory requires the coefficient fields to be complete for a discrete valuation. In the course of the work, we initially get a partial extension of the original slope theory to the spherically complete coefficient fields case. Unless stated otherwise, K is a field of characteristic 0 and complete for a non-archimedean valuation v_K which is not necessarily discrete. We make the assumption that the residue field is of characteristic p.

Theorem 0.1. *For K spherically complete, every ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_K$ admits a unique Harder-Narasimhan filtration.*

We expect that the semistability is preserved by tensor products in this case. This would yield a further extension of the original slope theory,

Before we state other results of this paper, we introduce some notations and definitions. Let $M(A)$ denote the affinoid space associated to A. For M_A a family of ϕ-modules over A and $x \in M(A)$, we set the specialization of M_A at x as $M_x = M_A \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A} \mathcal{R}_{k(x)}$; this is a ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_{k(x)}$. In general, we specialize M_A to any Berkovich point x by setting $M_x = M_A \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}(x)}$, where $\mathcal{H}(x)$ is the completion of the residue field of x. For K discrete and M a ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_K$, by a *model* of M we mean a ϕ-submodule N over $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$ such that $N \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_K^{bd}} \mathcal{R}_K = M$. We say it is a *good model* if the generic HN-polygon of N is the same as the HN-polygon of M. By a *model* of M_A we mean a ϕ-submodule N_A over $\mathcal{R}_A^{\mathrm{bd}}$ such that $N_A \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{bd}} \mathcal{R}_A = M_A$. We say it is a *good model* if N_x is a good model of M_x for any $x \in M(A)$. We say M_A (resp. N_A) is a family of *étale* ϕ-modules (resp. overconvergent ϕ-modules) if M_x (resp. N_x) is étale at every $x \in M(A)$. We say M_A (resp. N_A) is *globally étale* if M_A (resp. N_A) has a ϕ-stable finite free $\mathcal{R}_A^{\mathrm{int}}$-submodule N'_A such that $\phi^* N'_A \cong N'_A$ and $N'_A \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{\mathrm{int}}} \mathcal{R}_A = M_A$ (resp. $N'_A \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{\mathrm{int}}} \mathcal{R}_A^{\mathrm{bd}} = N_A$). More generally, we say M_A is *globally pure of slope s*, where $s = c/d$ for coprime integers c, d

and $d > 0$, if $([d]_* M) \otimes \mathcal{R}_A(-c)$ is globally étale. It is obvious that if M_A is pure of slope s, then M_x is pure of slope s for any $x \in M(A)$.

Our main results are the following theorems.

Theorem 0.2. *(Semicontinuity of HN-polygons) Let M_A be a family of ϕ-modules over A. Then for any $x \in M(A)$, there is an affinoid neighborhood $M(B)$ of x such that for any $y \in M(B)$ the HN-polygon of M_y lies above the HN-polygon of M_x and has the same endpoints.*

Theorem 0.3. *For M_A a family of ϕ-modules over $M(A)$, if M_x is pure of slope s for some $x \in M(A)$, then there exists an affinoid neighborhood $M(B)$ of x such that M_B is globally pure of slope s over $M(B)$. Let N_B be a good model of M_B. If $N_{B'}$ is a good model for another affinoid neighborhood $M(B')$ of x, then x has an affinoid neighborhood $M(B'')$ in $M(B) \cap M(B')$ such that $N_B \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_B^{\mathrm{bd}}} \mathcal{R}_{B''}^{\mathrm{bd}} = N_{B'} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{B'}^{\mathrm{bd}}} \mathcal{R}_{B''}^{\mathrm{bd}}$.*

Theorem 0.2 is the strongest result we can expect in some sense. In fact, at the end of this paper, we construct a family of rank 2 ϕ-modules $M_{B(O,1)}$ over the p-adic closed unit disk $B(O,1)$ such that for any Berkovich point x with $\mathcal{H}(x)$ discrete, M_x is étale if x is not O, and M_O has slopes $(-1, 1)$. Hence the HN-polygons are not continuous at O over either classical points or Berkovich points.

In subsequent works we will apply these results to families of (φ, Γ)-modules.

Acknowledgement

I am grateful to my advisor, Kiran S. Kedlaya, for suggesting the topic of this paper, and also for his useful comments and suggestions. In this last regard, thanks are due as well to Liang Xiao. Thanks to Chris Davis for the help on English; any remaining mistakes are my own. Thanks to the Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, Paris for their kind hospitality. When writing this paper, the author was founded by Kedlaya's NSF CAREER grant DMS-0545904.

1 Slope theory of ϕ-modules over the Robba ring

This chapter contains some basic definitions and facts from the slope theory of ϕ-modules over the Robba ring. The novel feature of our treatment is that we allow the coefficient field to be non-discrete. We prove some new results in this case, particularly the existence of HN filtrations for ϕ-modules over Robba rings with spherically complete coefficient fields.

1.1 The Robba ring

As before, K is a field of characteristic 0 and complete for a non-archimedean valuation v_K which is not necessarily discrete. Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ denote the valuation subring of K. Let $\mathfrak{m}_K$ denote the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$. The residue field $k = \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}_K$ is of characteristic p. The valuation v_K is normalized so that $v_K(p) = 1$ and the corresponding norm $|\cdot|$ is defined as $|\cdot| = p^{-v_K(\cdot)}$. We extend v_K and $|\cdot|$ uniquely to a valuation and a norm of $\widehat{\overline{K}}$ which are still denoted by v_K and $|\cdot|$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative Banach algebra over K and let $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ be the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}$ in the sense of Berkovich [2].

Definition 1.1. For any subinterval $I \subset (0, \infty]$, define

$$\mathcal{R}_K^I = \{f = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_i T^i, \text{ where } a_i \in K \text{ and } f(T) \text{ is convergent on } v_K(T) \in I\}.$$

Equivalently, $\mathcal{R}_K^I$ is the ring of rigid analytic functions on the annulus $v_K(T) \in I$ over K. For any $s \in I$, we define a valuation $w_{s,i}$ on $\mathcal{R}_K^I$ as $w_{s,i}(f) = v_K(a_k) + si$. We set the valuation w_s on $\mathcal{R}_K^I$ as $w_s(f) = \inf_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\{w_{s,i}(f)\}$. The corresponding norm is $|f|_s = p^{-w_s(f)}$. Note that $|\cdot|_s$ is multiplicative for any $s \in I$. Geometrically, $|f|_s$ is the maximal value of f on the circle $|x| = p^{-s}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{R}_K^I$ is Fréchet complete with respect to w_s for $s \in I$. For $I = (0, r]$, we also use $\mathcal{R}_K^r$ instead of $\mathcal{R}_K^{(0,r]}$. Let $\mathcal{R}_K$ be the union of $\mathcal{R}_K^r$ for all $r > 0$. The ring $\mathcal{R}_K$ is called the *Robba ring* over K.

Definition 1.2. Let $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{int}}$ be the subring of $\mathcal{R}_K$ consisting of series with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_K$. Let $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$ be the subring of $\mathcal{R}_K$ consisting of series with bounded coefficients. We equip $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$ with a valuation w by setting $w(f) = \inf_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\{v_K(a_i)\}$; the corresponding norm is $|f| = \sup_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} |a_i|$. By the following proposition, w is additive. If v_K is discrete, then $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{int}}$ is a Henselian local ring with residue field $k((T))$, and $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$ is the fraction field of $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{int}}$ [4].

Proposition 1.3. *For $u, v \in \mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$, we have $w(uv) = w(u) + w(v)$.*

Proof. We first show that for any $f = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_i T^i \in \mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$, we have $\lim_{r\to 0^+} w_r(f) = w(f)$. For any $\epsilon > 0$, pick i_0 such that $w(f) \le v_K(a_{i_0}) < w(f) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$; hence for $r \le r_0 = \frac{\epsilon}{2i_0}$, we have $w_r(f) \le ri_0 + v_K(a_{i_0}) < w(f) + \epsilon$. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large such that $r_0 i + v_K(a_i) \ge w(f)$ for any $i \le -N$. For any $r \le r_1 = \min\{r_0, \frac{\epsilon}{N}\}$, if $i \le -N$, then we have $ri + v_K(a_i) \ge r_0 i + v(a_i) \ge w(f)$; if $i \ge -N$, then we have $ri + v_K(a_i) \ge w(f) - rN \ge w(f) - \epsilon$. So we get that $|w_r(f) - w(f)| \le \epsilon$ for any $0 < r \le r_0$. This shows that $\lim_{r\to 0^+} w_r(f) = w(f)$. It follows that $w(uv) = \lim_{r\to 0^+} w_r(uv) = \lim_{r\to 0^+} w_r(u) + \lim_{r\to 0^+} w_r(v) = w(u) + w(v)$. $\square$

Remark 1.4. If v_K is discrete, we have that the units in $\mathcal{R}_K$ are precisely the nonzero elements of $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$ by Lazard's work [8]. If v_K is not discrete, $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$ is no longer a field, let alone the fraction field of $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{int}}$. But we still have that the units of $\mathcal{R}_K$ are contained in $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$ by the following proposition.

Proposition 1.5. *The units of $\mathcal{R}_K$ are contained in $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$.*

Proof. Let $f = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} a_i T^i$ be a unit of $\mathcal{R}_K$. Consider the Newton polygon P of f, i.e. the boundary of the lower convex hull of the set of points $(-i, v_K(a_i)) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then the slopes of P are exactly the valuations of the roots of f [8]. Since f is a unit in $\mathcal{R}_K$, it has only finitely many roots in the open unit disk. Hence P has only finitely many positive slopes. Let r be the smallest one. Suppose the two vertices of the segment having slope r are $(-i, v_K(a_i)), (-i', v_K(a_{i'}))$ with $i > i'$. Then it is clear that $v_K(a_j) > v_K(a_i)$ if $j < i$ and $v_K(a_j) \le v_K(a_i)$ if $j > i$. Hence f lies in $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$. $\square$

Similarly, we define the Robba ring over the Banach algebra $\mathcal{A}$ as follows.

Definition 1.6. For any subinterval $I \subset (0, \infty]$, we set

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{I} = \{f = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_i T^i, \text{ where } a_i \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } f(T) \text{ is convergent on } v_K(T) \in I\}.$$

For any $s \in I$, we define a valuation $w_{s,i}$ on $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{I}$ as $w_{s,i}(f) = v_{\mathcal{A}}(a_k) + is$. We set the valuation w_s on $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{I}$ as $w_s(f) = \inf_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}\{v_{\mathcal{A}}(a_i) + is\}$. The corresponding norm is $|f|_s = p^{-w_s(f)}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{I}$ is Fréchet complete with respect to w_s for $s \in I$. For general $\mathcal{A}$, $|\cdot|_s$ is no longer multiplicative; instead we have that $w_s(fg) \geq w_s(f) + w_s(g)$, $|fg|_s \leq |f|_s |g|_s$ for any f, $g \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{I}$. Similarly, for $I = (0, r]$, we use $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{r}$ instead of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(0,r]}$. We let $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the union of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{r}$ for all $r > 0$. It is called the *Robba ring* over $\mathcal{A}$.

Definition 1.7. Let $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{int}}$ be the subring of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ consisting of series with coefficients having norm at most 1. Let $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{bd}}$ be the subring of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ consisting of series with bounded coefficients. For $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{bd}}$, define $w(f) = \inf_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}\{v_{\mathcal{A}}(a_i)\}$ and $|f| = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}\{|a_i|\}$. Then $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{int}} = \{f \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{bd}}, w(f) \geq 0\}$.

Let $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{int},r}$ denote the intersection of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{int}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{r}$.

Definition 1.8. Fix an integer $q > 1$. A *relative (q-power) Frobenius lift* on the Robba ring $\mathcal{R}_K$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$) is a homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{R}_K \to \mathcal{R}_K$ (resp. $\phi : \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$) of the form

$$\textstyle\sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_i T^i \mapsto \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_K(a_i) S^i$$

(resp. $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_i T^i \mapsto \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{\mathcal{A}}(a_i) S^i$), where ϕ_K (resp. $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}$) is an isometric endomorphism of K (resp. $\mathcal{A}$) and $S \in \mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{int}}$ (resp. $S \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{int}}$) is such that $w(S - T^q) > 0$. If k has characteristic $p > 0$ and q is a power of p, we define an *absolute (q-power) Frobenius lift* as a relative Frobenius lift for which ϕ_K or $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a q-power Frobenius lift.

Convention 1.9. For any valuation v (resp. norm $|\cdot|$) and a matrix $A = (A_{ij})$, we use $v(A)$ (resp. $|A|$) to denote the minimal valuation (resp. maximal norm) among the entries.

In the rest of the paper, we always equip K and $\mathcal{A}$ with isometries ϕ_K and $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ respectively. They then become a ϕ-field and a ϕ-ring respectively in the sense of following definition.

Definition 1.10. Define a *ϕ-ring/field* to be a ring/field R equipped with an endomorphism ϕ; we say R is *inversive* if ϕ is bijective. Define a *(strict) ϕ-module* over a ϕ-ring R to be a finite free R-module M equipped with an isomorphism $\phi^* M \to M$, which we also think of as a semilinear ϕ-action on M; the semilinearity means that for $r \in R$ and $m \in M$, $\phi(rm) = \phi(r)\phi(m)$. Note that the category of ϕ-modules admits tensor products, symmetric and exterior powers, and duals.

From now on, if R is a ϕ-ring/field, then we always use ϕ_R to denote the endomorphism ϕ from the definition. For a ϕ-subring of a ϕ-ring R, we mean a subring of R stable under ϕ with the restricted ϕ-action.

Definition 1.11. We can view a ϕ-module M over a ϕ-ring R as a left module over the twisted polynomial ring $R\{X\}$. For a positive integer a, define the *a-pushforward* functor $[a]_*$ from ϕ-modules to ϕ^a-modules to be the restriction along the inclusion $R\{X^a\} \hookrightarrow R\{X\}$. Define the *a-pullback* functor $[a]^*$ from ϕ^a-modules to ϕ-modules to be the extension of scalars functor $M \to M \otimes_{R\{X^a\}} R\{X\}$.

In the rest of the paper, a ϕ-field is always complete with a non-archimedean valuation such that the ϕ-action is an isometric endomorphism. For a ϕ-subring, we mean a subring with the induced ϕ-action and valuation. We always equip the Robba ring $\mathcal{R}_K$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$) with a Frobenius lift ϕ, and our main objects will be ϕ-modules over $\mathcal{R}_K$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$). Note that by the definition of Frobenius lift, we have that ϕ maps $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$ or $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{bd}}$ to itself. Therefore we can also talk about ϕ-modules over $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathrm{bd}}$). They are called *overconvergent ϕ-modules.*

1.2 Slope theory of ϕ-modules

Definition 1.12. Let M be a ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_K$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$) of rank n. Then its top exterior power $\bigwedge^n M$ has rank 1 over $\mathcal{R}_K$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$). Let v be a generator of $\bigwedge^n M$, and suppose $\phi(v) = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$. Define the *degree* of M by setting $\deg(M) = w(\lambda)$. Note that this is independent of the choice of v because w is additive and ϕ is an isometry on $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$. If M is nonzero, define the *slope* of M by setting $\mu(M) = \deg(M)/\operatorname{rank}(M)$.

For $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set $\mathcal{R}_K(c)$ as the rank 1 ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_K$ with a generator v such that $\phi(v) = p^c v$. For a ϕ-module M over $\mathcal{R}_K$, we set $M(c)$ as $M \otimes \mathcal{R}_K(c)$. The following formal properties are easily verified; see [6] for the proof.

(1) If $0 \to M_1 \to M \to M_2 \to 0$ is exact, then $\deg(M) = \deg(M_1) + \deg(M_2)$.

(2) We have $\mu(M_1 \otimes M_2) = \mu(M_1) + \mu(M_2)$.

(3) We have $\deg(M^\vee) = -\deg(M)$ and $\mu(M^\vee) = -\mu(M)$.

(4) We have $\mu(M(c)) = \mu(M) + c$.

(5) If M is a ϕ-module, then $\mu([a]_* M) = a\mu(M)$.

(6) If M is a ϕ^a-module, then $\mu([a]^* M) = a^{-1}\mu(M)$.

Definition 1.13. We say a ϕ-module M over $\mathcal{R}_K$ is *(module-)semistable* if for any nonzero ϕ-submodule N, we have $\mu(N) \geq \mu(M)$. We say M is *(module-)stable* if for any proper nonzero ϕ-submodule N, we have $\mu(N) > \mu(M)$. Note that both properties are preserved under *twisting* (tensoring with a rank 1 module).

Lemma 1.14. *For $a \in \mathcal{R}_K$, if there exists a $\lambda \in \mathcal{R}_K^\times$ such that $w(\lambda) \leq 0$ and $\phi(a) = \lambda a$, then we have $a \in \mathcal{R}_K^\times$.*

Proof. Recall that a is a unit in $\mathcal{R}_K$ if and only if there exists an $r > 0$ such that a has no roots in the annulus $0 < v_K(T) < r$. By [6, Proposition 1.2.6] (although this is under the hypothesis that v_K is discrete, the proof works for the general v_K), we get that $a \in \mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$. Note that there exists an $r_0 > 0$ such that for any $r \in (0, r_0)$, ϕ induces a finite étale map of degree q from the annulus $0 < v_K(T) < r/q$ to the annulus $0 < v_K(T) < r$

and $v_K(\phi(x)) = qv_K(x)$ for $0 < v_K(x) < r/q$. Now if a is not a unit in $\mathcal{R}_K$, then it has roots $c_1, c_2, \cdots$ in the open unit disk such that $\lim v_K(c_i) = 0$. Moreover, by the theory of Newton polygons, we see that $\sum_{0<v_K(c_i)\leq\alpha} v_K(c_i) < \infty$ for some α since $a \in \mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$. Now we choose an $r < \min\{\alpha, r_0\}$ such that λ has no roots in the annulus $0 < |T| < r$. Pick a root c of a in this annulus. For any c' such that $\phi(c') = \phi_K(c)$, we have that it is a root of $\phi(a)$, hence a root of a because $\phi(a) = \lambda a$. Now we can find q different roots c' in the annulus $0 < v_K(x) < r/q$ such that $\phi(c') = \phi_K(c)$; each of them has valuation $v_K(c)/q$. Iterating the above process, we get q^2 roots of a having valuations $v_K(c)/q^2$, and so on. But $\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} q^i(v_K(c)/q^i) = \infty$; this yields a contradiction. □

Remark 1.15. In the rest of the paper, we fix an r_0 as in the above proof.

Proposition 1.16. *Any rank* 1 *ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_K$ is stable.*

Proof. By twisting, we only need to prove this for the trivial ϕ-module $M \cong \mathcal{R}_K$. Suppose that N is a nonzero ϕ-submodule of M; we write $N = \mathcal{R}_K a$ for some $a \in \mathcal{R}_K$. Then we have that $\lambda = \phi(a)/a \in \mathcal{R}_K^\times$, and $\mu(N) = w(\lambda)$ by definition. If $w(\lambda) = \mu(N) \leq \mu(M) = 0$, then $\phi(a) = \lambda a$ implies that $a \in \mathcal{R}_K^\times$ by the above lemma. Hence $N = M$. In other words, $\mu(N) > \mu(M)$ unless $N = M$, as desired. □

Corollary 1.17. *Suppose that $N \subset M$ are two ϕ-modules over $\mathcal{R}_K$ of the same rank; then $\mu(N) \geq \mu(M)$, with equality if and only if $N = M$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\operatorname{rank} M = n$. Apply the above lemma to the inclusion $\bigwedge^n N \subseteq \bigwedge^n M$. □

Definition 1.18. Let M be a ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_K$. A *semistable filtration* of M is a filtration $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \cdots \subset M_l = M$ of M by saturated ϕ-submodules, such that each successive quotient M_i/M_{i-1} is a semistable ϕ-module of some slope s_i. A *Harder-Narasimhan (HN) filtration* of M is a semistable filtration such that $s_1 < \cdots < s_l$. These s_i are called the *slopes* of M.

Definition 1.19. Let M be a ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_K$. Define the *slope multiset* of a semistable filtration of M as the multiset in which each slope of a successive quotient occurs with multiplicity equal to the rank of that quotient. Define the *slope polygon* of this filtration as the Newton-polygon of the slope multiset. For the definition of Newton polygon of a multiset, we refer to [4, Definition 3.5.1].

Proposition 1.20. *For K spherically complete, every ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_K$ has a unique maximal ϕ-submodule of minimal slope, which is semistable.*

Proof. Let M be a ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_K$. From [8], $\mathcal{R}_K$ is a Bézout domain for K spherically complete. It follows that the saturation of a ϕ-submodule of M and the sum of two ϕ-submodules of M are still ϕ-submodules of M. So we can talk about the saturation of a ϕ-submodule and the sum of two ϕ-submodules.

We will prove this proposition by induction on the rank of the ϕ-modules. For $d = 1$, we have $\mu(N) \geq \mu(M)$ for any ϕ-submodule N of M, with equality if and only if $N = M$ by Corollary 1.17. Hence M itself is the desired submodule. Now suppose the theorem is true for ϕ-modules over $\mathcal{R}_K$ of rank $\leq d-1$ for some $d \geq 2$. Let M be a rank d ϕ-module of slope s. If M is semistable, then we are done. Otherwise, let P be a ϕ-submodule of slope less than s and of maximal rank. Following Corollary 1.17, the saturation $\tilde{P}$ of P satisfies $\mu(\tilde{P}) \leq \mu(P)$. Hence by passing to the saturation $\tilde{P}$, we suppose that P is saturated. If $\operatorname{rank} P = d$, then we have $\mu(M) = \mu(P) < s$. That is a contradiction. So P is a ϕ-module of rank $\leq d-1$. By the inductive hypothesis, P has a unique maximal ϕ-submodule P_1 of minimal slope. We claim that P_1 is also the unique maximal ϕ-submodule of M of minimal slope. In fact, suppose that Q is a ϕ-submodule of M such that $\mu(Q) \leq \mu(P_1) < s$. If $Q \nsubseteq P$, consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow P \cap Q \longrightarrow P \oplus Q \longrightarrow P + Q \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mu(P \cap Q) \geq \mu(P_1) \geq \mu(Q)$, we conclude that $\mu(P+Q) \leq \max\{\mu(P), \mu(Q)\} < s$. But $\operatorname{rank}(Q+P) > \operatorname{rank} P$, which contradicts the definition of P. We conclude that $Q \subset P$. Therefore we must have $\mu(Q) = \mu(P_1)$ and $Q \subseteq P_1$ by the definition of P_1. The induction step is finished. □

Theorem 1.21. *For K spherically complete, every ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_K$ admits a unique HN filtration.*

Proof. This is a formal consequence of the above proposition. In fact, by the definition of HN filtration, for any $i \geq 1$, M_i can be characterized as the unique maximal ϕ-submodule of M/M_{i-1} of minimal slope. □

Definition 1.22. Let M be a ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_K$. If K is spherically complete, then M admits a unique HN filtration by the above corollary. The slope polygon of the HN filtration of M is called the *HN-polygon* of M.

Proposition 1.23. *The HN-polygon lies above the slope polygon of any semistable filtration and has the same endpoint.*

Proof. This is a formal consequence of the definition of HN filtration. We refer to [4, Proposition 3.5.4] for a proof. □

In case v_K is discrete, we can say more about semistable ϕ-modules over $\mathcal{R}_K$.

Definition 1.24. Let M be a ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_K$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$). We say M is *étale* if M has a ϕ-stable $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{int}}$-submodule M' such that $\phi^*M' \cong M'$ and $M' \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{int}}} \mathcal{R}_K = M$ (resp. $M' \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{int}}} \mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}} = M$). More generally, suppose $\mu(M) = s = c/d$, where c, d are coprime integers with $d > 0$. We say M is *pure* if for some ϕ-module N of rank 1 and degree $-c$, $([d]_*M) \otimes N$ is étale.

It is not difficult to prove that a pure ϕ-module is always semistable [6, Theorem 1.6.10] (although the proof is under the hypothesis that v_K is discrete, it works for the general case). But the converse is much more difficult. We know this for discrete v_K thanks to the following theorem of Kedlaya [6].

Theorem 1.25. *(Slope filtration theorem) In case v_K is discrete, every semistable ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_K$ is pure. As a consequence, every ϕ-module M over $\mathcal{R}_K$ admits a unique filtration $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_l = M$ by saturated ϕ-submodules whose successive quotients are pure with $\mu(M_1/M_0) < \cdots < \mu(M_l/M_{l-1})$.*

It follows that for v_K discrete, the tensor product of two semistable ϕ-modules is semistable.

Question 1.26. If K is merely spherically complete, do we have that semistable implies pure? If this is not true, do we still have that the tensor product of two semistable ϕ-modules is semistable?

2 Generic and special slope filtrations

In this chapter, we will freely use the language and results of the theory of difference algebras, for which the readers may consult [7, Chapter 14]. In this setting, for a ϕ-ring R the category of ϕ-modules over R is exactly the category of dualizable finite difference modules over the difference algebra R.

2.1 Dieudonné-Manin decompositions

In this section, F is ϕ-field. We are concerned with the existence of Dieudonné-Manin decompositions of ϕ-modules over F.

Definition 2.1. For $\lambda \in F$ and d a positive integer, let $V_{\lambda,d}$ be the ϕ-module over F with basis $e_1, \ldots, e_d$ such that $\phi(e_1) = e_2, \ldots, \phi(e_{d-2}) = e_{d-1}, \phi(e_{d-1}) = e_d, \phi(e_d) = \lambda e_1$; any such a basis is called a *standard basis.* For a ϕ-module V over F, a *Dieudonné-Manin decomposition* of V is a direct sum decomposition $V = \oplus_{i=1}^{n} V_{\lambda_i,d_i}$ into standard ϕ-submodules. The *slope multiset* of such a decomposition is the union of the multiple sets consisting of $v_F(\lambda_i)/d_i$ with multiplicity d_i for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that F is spherically complete and that the residue field k_F is strongly difference-closed. Then we have the following*

(1) For any $a \in F$, there exists $x \in F$ with $|x| \leq |a|$ and $\phi(x) - x = a$;

(2) For any $a \in F$, there exists $x \in F$ with $\phi(x) = a$.

Proof. Give F a partial order as follows. For $x, y \in F$, we say $x > y$ if $|\phi(x) - x - a| < |x - y| \leq |\phi(y) - y - a|$. Suppose $x_1 < x_2 < \ldots$ is a chain in the set $\{x \mid |x| \leq |a|\}$. Put $r_i = |\phi(x_i) - x_i - a|$; then $B(x_1, r_1) \supseteq B(x_2, r_2) \supseteq \cdots$. By spherical completeness, we have a point x inside all the discs; then $|x| \leq |a|$ and $x > y_i$ for all i. Applying Zorn's lemma, we have a maximal x' in the set $\{x \mid |x| \leq |a|\}$; then it satisfies $\phi(x') - x' = a$ because k_F is strongly difference-closed. We prove (2) similarly. ☐

The following theorem generalizes [7, Theorem 14.6.3] to spherically complete fields.

Theorem 2.3. *For spherically complete F, if the residue field k_F is strongly difference-closed, then every ϕ-module over F admits a Dieudonné-Manin decomposition.*

Proof. By the lemma above, we have that F is inversive. Let V be a d-dimensional ϕ-module over F. We first prove that if V is pure of norm 1, then V is trivial. This amounts to showing that for any $A \in \mathrm{GL_d}(\mathcal{O}_\mathrm{F})$, there exists $U \in \mathrm{GL_d}(\mathcal{O}_\mathrm{F})$ such that $U^{-1}A\phi(U) = I_d$. Since k_F is strongly difference-closed, we pick U_1 such that $|U_1^{-1}A\phi(U_1) - I_d| = c < 1$. Choosing $\pi \in F$ such that $|\pi| = c$, we construct a sequence $U_1, U_2, \cdots \in \mathrm{GL_d}(\mathcal{O}_\mathrm{F})$ inductively such that

$$U_i \equiv U_{i+1} \text{ and } U_i^{-1} A\phi(U_i) \equiv I_d \pmod{\pi^i}$$

for every i. Given U_i, since $U_i^{-1}A\phi(U_i) - I_d \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^i}$, by Lemma 2.2 we take $X_i \in \mathrm{M_d}(\mathcal{O}_\mathrm{F})$ satisfying

$$\phi(\pi^i X_i) - \pi^i X_i + (U_i^{-1}A\phi(U_i) - I_d) \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^{i+1}}.$$

Put $U_{i+1} = U_i(I_d + \pi^i X_i)$; a short computation shows that $U_{i+1}^{-1}A\phi(U_{i+1}) \equiv I_d \pmod{\pi^{i+1}}$.

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that, if V is trivial, then $H^1(V) = V/(\phi - 1) = 0$. By [7, Theorem 14.4.13], we reduce the desired result to the case V is pure of norm $s > 0$. Let m be the smallest positive integer such that $s^m \in |F^\times|$, and choose a $\pi \in F$ such that $|\pi| = s^m$. Then the above argument implies that $\pi^{-1}\phi^m$ fixes some nonzero element of V; this induces a nonzero map from $V_{\pi,m}$ to V. This map is injective since $V_{\pi,m}$ is irreducible by [7, Lemma 14.6.2]. Repeating this argument we have that V is a successive extension of of copies of $V_{\pi,m}$. Finally observe that $\mathrm{Ext}^1(V_{\pi,m}, V_{\pi,m}) = H^1(V_{\pi,m}^\vee \otimes V_{\pi,m}) = 0$ since $V_{\pi,m}^\vee \otimes V_{\pi,m}$ is pure of norm 1, yielding the desired result. ☐

2.2 Generic slope filtrations

Throughout this section, K is discrete.

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{E}_K$ be the completion of $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$ with respect to w; the induced valuation on $\mathcal{E}_K$ is still denoted by w. Then $\mathcal{E}_K$ is just the set of Laurent series $f = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_i T^i$ with $a_i \in K$, satisfying the conditions that the $|a_i|$'s are bounded and that $|a_i| \to 0$ as $i \to -\infty$. It follows that $\mathcal{E}_K$ is a field. The valuation w can be written as $w(f) = \min_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\{v_K(a_i)\}$. The ϕ-action on $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$ induces a ϕ-action on $\mathcal{E}_K$. In this way, $\mathcal{E}_K$ is equipped with a ϕ-field structure.

Definition 2.5. For S a commutative ring, let $S((u^{\mathbb{Q}}))$ denote the Hahn-Malcev-Neumann algebra of generalized power series $\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Q}} c_i u^i$, where each $c_i \in S$ and the set of i with $c_i \neq 0$ is well-ordered (has no infinite decreasing subsequence); these series form a ring under formal series multiplication, with a natural valuation v given by $v(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Q}} c_i u^i) = \min\{i \mid c_i \neq 0\}$

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K$, $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$ be the extended Robba ring and the extended bounded Robba ring defined in [6].

Definition 2.6. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ be the ring of formal sums $f = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Q}} a_i u^i$ with bounded coefficients in K satisfying the conditions that for each $c > 0$, the set of $i \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $|a_i| \geq c$ is well-ordered and that $|a_i| \to 0$ as $i \to -\infty$. It is clear that $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ is a field. Define the valuation w on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ by setting $w(f) = \min_{i\in\mathbb{Q}} v_K(a_i)$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ is the completion of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$ with respect to w. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K}$ denote the ring of integers of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$. The residue field of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K}$ is $k((u^{\mathbb{Q}}))$. We set the ϕ-action on $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ as $\phi(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Q}} a_i u^i) = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Q}} \phi(a_i) u^{qi}$. In this way, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ is equipped with a ϕ-field structure.

Proposition 2.7. *There exists a ϕ-equivariant isometric embedding $\psi : \mathcal{E}_K \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$. We thus view $\mathcal{E}_K$ as a ϕ-subfield of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ via ψ.*

Proof. By the proof of [6, Proposition 2.2.6], we have a ϕ-equivariant isometric embedding from $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$ to $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$. Taking completion of this embedding, we get the ψ desired. $\square$

We set the slope of ϕ-modules over $\mathcal{E}_K$ or $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ as of ϕ-modules over $\mathcal{R}_K$.

Definition 2.8. Let P be a ϕ-module over $\mathcal{E}_K$ or $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ of rank n. We choose v a generator of $\bigwedge^n P$, and write $\phi(v) = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}_K$. The *degree* of P is $\deg(P) = w(\lambda)$. This is independent of the choice of v because of the ϕ-equivariance of w. If P is nonzero, define the *slope* of P by setting $\mu(P) = \deg(P)/\operatorname{rank}(P)$.

We then define *stable*, *semistable*, *HN filtration* and *HN-polygon* for ϕ-modules over $\mathcal{E}_K$ or $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ the same as for ϕ-modules over $\mathcal{R}_K$.

Proposition 2.9. *A ϕ-module over $\mathcal{E}_K$ or $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ is semistable of slope s if and only if it is pure of spectral norm e^{-s} in the sense of difference modules. Every ϕ-module over $\mathcal{E}_K$ or $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ admits a unique HN filtration.*

Proof. Assuming the first assertion, the second one then follows from [7, Theorem 14.4.15]. For the first assertion, the 'if' part is true for irreducible ϕ-modules by [7, Lemma 14.4.14]. Hence it is true for any pure ϕ-module. Conversely, for semistable P, applying [7, Theorem 14.4.15], there exists a unique filtration $0 = P_0 \subset P_1 \cdots \subset P_l = P$ such that each successive quotient P_i/P_{i-1} is pure of some slope s_i, and $s_1 < s_1 < \cdots < s_l$. Since $\mu(P) \leq s_i$ for every i and $\mu(P)$ is the weighted average of these s_i, we must have $l = 1$. $\square$

Proposition 2.10. *Suppose that k is strongly difference-closed. Let P be a ϕ-module over $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$. Then the HN filtration of P splits uniquely, i.e. there exists a unique direct sum decomposition $P = \oplus_s P_s$ of ϕ-modules, in which each P_s is a pure submodule of slope s. Moreover, every P_s admits a Dieudonné-Manin decomposition.*

Proof. By [7, Proposition 2.5.5], the residue field $k((u^{\mathbb{Q}}))$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ is strongly difference-closed. The first assertion then follows from [7, Theorem 14.4.13] and the proposition above. The second assertion follows from Theorem 2.3. $\square$

We call this decomposition the *slope decomposition* of P.

Definition 2.11. For M a ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_K^{bd}$, the *generic slope filtration* of M is the HN filtration of $M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}} \mathcal{E}_K$. The slope polygon of the generic slope filtration is called the *generic HN-polygon.*

Proposition 2.12. *Let L be a ϕ-field extension of K. Then for a ϕ-module P over $\mathcal{E}_K$, the HN filtration of P, tensored up to $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_L$, gives the HN filtration of $P \otimes_{\mathcal{E}_K} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_L$. In particular, P and $P \otimes_{\mathcal{E}_K} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_L$ have the same HN-polygon.*

Proof. It follows from [7, Proposition 4.14.16] that the purity of ϕ-modules is preserved under base field extension. This implies the desired result. $\square$

2.3 Comparison of polygons

Definition 2.13. Suppose that K is spherically complete. For N a ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_K^{bd}$, the *special slope filtration* of N is the HN filtration of $N \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}} \mathcal{R}_K$. The slope polygon of the special slope filtration is called the *special HN-polygon*.

The following proposition generalizes [6, Proposition 2.5.8] to non-discrete K (the definitions of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ extend naturally to non-discrete K). Although [6, Proposition 2.5.8] is already enough for this paper, the general case might prove useful for other purposes.

Proposition 2.14. *Let F be an $n \times n$ matrix over $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K^{\mathrm{int}}$. If $\mathbf{v} \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K^n$ is a column vector satisfying $F\mathbf{v} = \phi(\mathbf{v})$, then $\mathbf{v} \in (\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K^{\mathrm{bd}})^n$.*

Proof. The problem is unaffected if we replace $\mathbf{v}, F$ by $u^m\mathbf{v}, \phi(u)^m/u^m F$. Rescaling by a power of u we may assume that the entries of F are bounded by 1 under $|\cdot|_r$. We may also assume that $\mathbf{v}$ has entries in $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K}$. Write $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Q}} c_{ij}u^i e_i$, where $e_1, \dots, e_n$ are the standard basis vectors; it suffices to show that $|c_{ij}u^i|_r \leq 1$ for all i, j, as then the entries of $\mathbf{v}$ will lie in $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K^s$ for any $0 < s < r$.

Suppose the contrary. Then there is a pair i_0, j_0 such that $|c_{i_0j_0}u^{i_0}|_r > 1$. Note that any pair i, j with $|c_{ij}u^i|_r > 1$ must have $i < 0$ by our assumption on $\mathbf{v}$. The set of $i \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $|c_{ij}| \geq |c_{i_0j_0}|$ is well-ordered for any $1 \leq j \leq n$. So we can find a $c_{i_1j_1}$ such that $|c_{i_1j_1}| \geq |c_{i_0j_0}|$ and for any pair i, j, if $|c_{ij}| \geq |c_{i_0j_0}|$, then $i \geq i_1$. It follows that $i_1 \leq i_0$; hence $|c_{i_1j_1}u^{i_1}|_r \geq |c_{i_0j_0}u^{i_0}|_r > 1$. However, if we expand $F\mathbf{v} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Q}} d_{ij}u^i e_j$, then we must have $|d_{(qi_1)j_1}u^{qi_1}|_r < |\phi(c_{i_1j_1})u^{qi_1}|_r$. In fact, for any term au^s appearing in an entry of F and term $bu^w e_j$ appearing in an entry of $\mathbf{v}$ with $s+w = qi_1$, if $|b| < |c_{i_1j_1}|$, then $|abu^{qi_1}|_r \leq |bu^{qi_1}|_r < |\phi(c_{i_1j_1})u^{qi_1}|_r$. If $|b| \geq |c_{i_1j_1}| \geq |c_{i_0j_0}|$, then $w \geq i_1 \geq (q-1)i_1$; hence $|abu^{s+w}|_r \leq |bu^w|_r \leq |u^w|_r \leq |u^{(q-1)i_1}|_r < |\phi(c_{i_1j_1})u^{qi_1}|_r$. This contradicts the equality $F\mathbf{v} = \phi(\mathbf{v})$, proving the claim. □

Lemma 2.15. *For K discrete, let N be a ϕ-module over $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$ with nonpositive generic slopes. Let $v \in P = N \otimes_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K^{bd}} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ satisfying $\phi(v) = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K^{\mathrm{int}}$. Then $v \in N$.*

Proof. We choose L a ϕ-field extension of K with discrete valuation such that the residue field of L is strongly difference-closed. Let $N_L = N \otimes_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_L^{\mathrm{bd}}$. If we prove that $v \in N_L$, then we have $v \in N_L \cap P = N$. So we may assume that k is strongly difference-closed without loss of generality. By Proposition 2.10, we can write $P^\vee$ as a direct sum of standard submodules, whose slopes are nonnegative by hypothesis. Consider the $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K}$ lattice of $P^\vee$ generated by standard basis vectors of standard submodules; its intersection with $N^\vee$ is a ϕ-stable $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K^{\mathrm{int}}$ lattice $L^\vee$ of $N^\vee$. Let L be the dual lattice of $L^\vee$ in N. Choose $\mathbf{e} = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ a basis of L, and let F be the matrix of ϕ under $\mathbf{e}$; then F^{-1} has entries in $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$. Write $v = \mathbf{e}\mathbf{v}$ for some column vector $\mathbf{v}$ over $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$. Then $\phi(v) = \lambda v$ implies that $F\phi(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda\mathbf{v}$; hence $F^{-1}\lambda\mathbf{v} = \phi(\mathbf{v})$. By the above lemma, $\mathbf{v}$ has entries in $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$. So $v \in N$. □

Definition 2.16. Suppose that K is discrete with k strongly difference-closed. For any ϕ-module P over $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ with slope decomposition $P = P_{s_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus P_{s_l}$, labeled so that $s_1 > \cdots > s_l$. Define the *reverse filtration* of P as the filtration $0 = P_0 \subset \cdots \subset P_l = P$ with $P_i = P_{s_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus P_{s_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$.

Proposition 2.17. *For K discrete with k strongly difference-closed, let N be a ϕ-module over $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$. Then the reverse filtration of $P = N \otimes_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$ descends to N.*

Proof. Let $0 = P_0 \subset P_1 \cdots \subset P_l = P$ be the reverse filtration of P. It suffices to show that P_1 descends to N. By twisting, we reduce to the case where $\mu(P_1) = 0$. Then the slopes of P are all nonpositive. For any standard submodule $V_{\lambda,d}$ of P, if e is a standard basis vector, then $\phi(e) = \phi^i(\lambda)e$ for some $0 \leq i \leq d-1$; by the lemma above, e belongs to N. Hence P_1 descends to N. □

Theorem 2.18. *For K discrete, let N be a ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$. Then the special HN-polygon of N lies above the generic HN-polygon of M and has the same endpoint.*

Proof. Since both the generic and special HN-polygons are preserved under base change, we only need to treat the case where k is strongly difference-closed. Put $\tilde{N} = N \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$, $P = N \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$, $M = N \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K$. Suppose that $0 = P_0 \subset P_1 \cdots \subset P_l = P$ is the reverse filtration of P. From the above lemma, the reverse filtration descends to a filtration $0 = \tilde{N}_0 \subset \tilde{N}_1 \cdots \subset \tilde{N}_l = \tilde{N}$ of $\tilde{N}$, where $\tilde{N}_i/\tilde{N}_{i-1}$ is generated by the standard basis of P_i/P_{i-1}; hence $\tilde{N}_i/\tilde{N}_{i-1}$ is pure of slope $\mu(P_i/P_{i-1})$. Tensoring with $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K$, it gives a semistable filtration $0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_l = M$ of M whose slope polygon is the generic HN-polygon of N, where $M_i = \tilde{N}_i \otimes_{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_K$. By [6, Theorem 3.1.2], the special polygon of N is the same as the HN-polygon of M. This implies the desired result since the HN-polygon lies above the slope polygon of any semistable filtration and has the same endpoint. □

3 Variation of HN-polygons

Throughout this section, K is discrete with uniformizer π_K. Let A be a reduced affinoid algebra over K, and suppose that ϕ_K is extended to an isometric endomorphism ϕ_A of A such that for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of A, $\phi_A(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, and that ϕ_A induces an isometric endomorphism of $A/\mathfrak{p}$. We use $M(A)$ to denote the associated affinoid space of A as in rigid geometry, and let $k(x)$ denote the residue field of x. By *a family of ϕ-modules* (resp. *a family of overconvergent ϕ-modules*) over A, we mean a ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_A$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_A^{\mathrm{bd}}$). Suppose that M_A (resp. N_A) is a family of ϕ-modules (resp. overconvergent ϕ-modules) over A. For any $x \in \mathcal{M}(A)$, we set *the specialization* of M_A (resp. N_A) at x as $M_x = M_A \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}(x)}$ (resp. $N_x = N_A \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{\mathrm{bd}}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}(x)}^{\mathrm{bd}}$); it is a ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}(x)}$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}(x)}^{\mathrm{bd}}$). We say M_A (resp. N_A) is a family of *étale* ϕ-modules (resp. overconvergent ϕ-modules) if M_x (resp. N_x) is étale at every $x \in M(A)$. We say M_A (resp. N_A) is *globally étale* if M_A (resp. N_A) has a ϕ-stable finite free $\mathcal{R}_A^{\mathrm{int}}$-submodule N'_A such that $\phi^* N'_A \cong N'_A$ and $N'_A \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{\mathrm{int}}} \mathcal{R}_A = M_A$ (resp. $N'_A \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{\mathrm{int}}} \mathcal{R}_A^{\mathrm{bd}} = N_A$). More generally, we say M_A is *globally pure of slope s*, where $s = c/d$ for coprime integers c, d and $d > 0$, if $([d]_* M) \otimes \mathcal{R}_A(-c)$ is globally étale. It is obvious that if M_A is pure of slope s, then M_x is pure of slope s for any $x \in M(A)$.

3.1 Local constancy of generic HN-polygons of families of overconvergent ϕ-modules

Definition 3.1. For an invertible square matrix F over $\mathcal{R}_K$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}_K$), we define the *HN-polygon* of F as the HN-polygon of the ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_K$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}_K$) defined by F. For F over $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$, the *generic HN-polygon* and *special HN-polygon* of F is defined as the generic HN-polygon and special HN-polygon of the ϕ-module defined by F.

Lemma 3.2. *Let P be a ϕ-module over $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$. Suppose that the matrix F of ϕ under some basis satisfies $w(FD^{-1} - I_n) > 0$ for some $n \times n$ matrix D over $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_K$. Then the slopes of P are equal to the valuations of the diagonal entries of D.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume that k is strongly difference-closed. We will inductively construct a sequence of matrices $U_1, U_2, \dots$ such that

$$U_1 = I_n,\, U_{i+1} \equiv U_i \pmod{\pi_K^i},\, U_i^{-1}F\phi(U_i)D^{-1} \equiv I_n \pmod{\pi_K^i}.$$

Taking U as the limit of this sequence, we have $U^{-1}A\phi(U) = D$, yielding the desired result.

The conditions for $i = 1$ are satisfied by assumption. Given U_i, put $V = U_i^{-1}F\phi(U_i)D^{-1} - I_n$. For each pair (i,j) with $1 \leq i,j \leq n$, by Lemma 2.2 the equation $x - D_{ii}\phi(x)D_{jj}^{-1} = V_{ij}$ has a solution x_{ij} with $\min\{v_K(x_{ij}), v_K(D_{ii}\phi(x_{ij})D_{jj}^{-1})\} = v_K(V_{ij})$. Let X be the $n \times n$ matrix with entries $X_{ij} = x_{ij}$. Then X and $D\phi(X)D^{-1}$ are both congruent to 0 modulo π_K^i. Put $U_{i+1} = U_i(I_n + X)$; then

$$\begin{aligned} U_{i+1}^{-1}F\phi(U_{i+1})D^{-1} &= (I_n + X)^{-1}U_i^{-1}F\phi(U_i)(I_n + X)D^{-1} \\ &= (I_n + X)^{-1}U_i^{-1}F\phi(U_i)D^{-1}(I_n + DXD^{-1}) \\ &= (I_n + X)^{-1}(I_n + V)(I_n + DXD^{-1}) \\ &\equiv I_n - X + V + DXD^{-1} = I_n \pmod{\pi_K^{i+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the conditions for U_{i+1} are satisfied. The induction step is finished. ☐

Proposition 3.3. *Let F be an invertible $n \times n$ matrix over $\mathcal{E}_K$. Then there exists a constant C_F depending only on F satisfying the following property. For any ϕ-field $L \supset K$ with discrete valuation extending v_K and F' an $n \times n$ matrix over $\mathcal{E}_L$, if $w(F' - F) > C_F$, then F' has the same HN-polygon as F.*

Proof. We take a ϕ-field extension K' of K with discrete valuation and strongly difference-closed residue field. Let P be the ϕ-module over $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{K'}$ defined by F. Then P has a Dieudonné-Manin decomposition. We further enlarge K' such that for any standard submodule $V_{\lambda,d}$ in this decomposition, $v_{K'}(\lambda)/d$ lies in the image of the valuation map of K'. Then there is an invertible $n \otimes n$ matrix U over $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{K'}$ such that $D = U^{-1}F\phi(U)$ is diagonal; the slopes of F are equal to the valuations of the diagonal entries of D. For any L as in the proposition, choose a ϕ-field extension L' of K' with discrete valuation such that L can be embedded isometrically into L'. We then view F', F, U, D as matrices over $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{L'}$. Now if $w(F' - F) > -w(D^{-1}) - w(U^{-1}) - w(\phi(U))$, then we get $w(U^{-1}F'\phi(U)D^{-1} - I_n) = w(U^{-1}F'\phi(U)D^{-1} - U^{-1}F\phi(U)D^{-1}) \geq w(U^{-1}) + w(F' - F) + w(\phi(U)) + w(D^{-1}) > 0$. Then by the above lemma, the slopes of $U^{-1}F'\phi(U)$, or equivalently the slopes of F', are equal to the valuations of the diagonal entries of D, hence are the same as slopes of F. This shows that we can take C_F to be $-w(D^{-1}) - w(U^{-1}) - w(\phi(U))$. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *For any $x \in M(A)$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists an affinoid neighborhood $M(B)$ of x such that for any $f \in A$ vanishing at x, $|f(y)| \leq \lambda |f|$ for any $y \in M(B)$.*

Proof. We first prove the lemma for $A = K\langle x_1, \cdots, x_n\rangle$, the n-dimensional Tate algebra. Without loss of generality we suppose that x is the origin: point $x_1 = \cdots = x_n = 0$. Choosing a rational number $\lambda' < \lambda$, the affinoid domain $\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) | \, |x_1| \leq \lambda', \dots, |x_n| \leq \lambda'\}$ satisfies the required property.

For general A, the reduction $\bar{A} = A^o/\pi_K A^o$ is a finite type scheme over k. For n sufficiently large, we take a surjective k-algebra homomorphism $\overline{\alpha} : k[\overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_n}] \twoheadrightarrow \bar{A}$, where $k[\overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_n}]$ is the polynomial algebra of variables $\overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_n}$. We then lift $\overline{\alpha}$ to a K-affinoid algebra homomorphism $\alpha : K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n\rangle \to A$ by mapping x_i to a lift of $\overline{\alpha}(\overline{x_i})$ in A^o. Then it follows from Nakayama's lemma that α maps $\mathcal{O}_K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n\rangle$ onto A^o. We continue to use α to denote the induced K-affinoid homomorphism from $M(A)$ to $M(K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n\rangle)$. By the case of $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n\rangle$, we can find an affinoid neighborhood $M(B)$ of $\alpha(x)$ satisfying the required property. Now for any nonzero $f \in A$ vanishing at x, choosing $c \in K$ such that $|c| = |f|$, we can find an $f' \in \mathcal{O}_K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n\rangle$ mapping to f/c via α. Then $f'(\alpha(x)) = (f/c)(x) = 0$ implies that $|f'(y)| \leq \lambda$ for any $y \in M(B)$. Then for any $y \in \alpha^{-1}(M(B))$, we have $|f(y)|/c = |f'(\alpha(y))| \leq \lambda$. Hence $\alpha^{-1}(M(B))$ is an affinoid neighborhood of x satisfying the property we need. $\square$

Theorem 3.5. *For a family of overconvergent ϕ-modules over A, the generic HN-polygons are locally constant over $M(A)$.*

Proof. Let N_A be a family of overconvergent ϕ-modules over A. For any $x \in M(A)$, by passing from A to $A_{k(x)} = A \otimes_K k(x)$, we only need to treat the case that x is rational. Choosing a basis $\mathbf{e}_A$ of N_A, let F be the matrix of ϕ with respect to $\mathbf{e}_A$. For any $y \in M(A)$, $\mathbf{e}_A$ maps to $\mathbf{e}_y$ a basis of N_y, and the matrix of ϕ under $\mathbf{e}_y$ is F_y. Let C_{F_x} be the constant for F_x as in Proposition 3.3. Since F_x is over $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$, by the above lemma there exists an affinoid neighborhood $M(B)$ of x such that $w(F_y - F_x) > C_{F_x}$ for any $y \in M(B)$. Hence the generic HN-polygon of F_y, or in other words, the generic HN-polygon of N_y, is the same as the generic HN-polygon of N_x. $\square$

3.2 Semicontinuity of HN-polygons of families of ϕ-modules

Definition 3.6. For a ϕ-module M over $\mathcal{R}_K$, we say a ϕ-submodule N over $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$ is a *model* of M if $N \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}} \mathcal{R}_K = M$. We say it is a *good model* if the generic HN-polygon of N coincides with the special HN-polygon of N, i.e. the HN-polygon of M. For a family of ϕ-modules M_A over A, we say a a family of overconvergent ϕ-submodules N_A of M_A is a model of M_A if $N_A \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^{\mathrm{bd}}} \mathcal{R}_A = M_A$. We say it is a *good model* if N_x is a good model of M_x for any $x \in M(A)$.

The following is a family version of [4, Lemma 6.1.1].

Lemma 3.7. *For $r < r_0/q$, let D be an invertible $n \times n$ matrix over $\mathcal{R}_A^{[r,r]}$, and put $h = -w_r(D) - w_r(D^{-1})$. Let F be an $n \times n$ matrix over $\mathcal{R}_A^{[r,r]}$ such that $w_r(FD^{-1} -$*

$I_n) \geq c + h/(q-1)$ for a positive number c. Then for any positive integer k satisfying $2(q-1)(k-1) \leq c$, there exists an invertible $n \times n$ matrix U over $\mathcal{R}_A^{[r,qr]}$ such that $U^{-1}F\phi(U)D^{-1} - I_n$ has entries in $\pi_K^k \mathcal{R}_A^{\mathrm{int},r}$ and $w_r(U^{-1}F\phi(U)D^{-1} - I_n) \geq c + h/(q-1)$.

Proof. To prove the lemma, we first introduce some valuations on $\mathcal{R}_A$. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r > 0$, $f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_k T^k \in \mathcal{R}_A$, we set $v_i(f) = \min\{k : v_K(a_k) \leq n\}$ and $v_{i,r}(f) = rv_i(f) + i$. They were first introduced in [4, p. 458] and named as v_i^{naive}, $v_{i,r}^{\mathrm{naive}}$ respectively.

We define a sequence of invertible matrices $U_0, U_1, \dots$ over $\mathcal{R}_A^{[r,qr]}$ and a sequence of matrices $F_0, F_1, \dots$ over $\mathcal{R}_A^{[r,r]}$ as follows. Set $U_0 = I_n$. Given U_l, put $F_l = U_l^{-1}F\phi(U_l)$. Suppose $F_l D^{-1} - I_n = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} V_m T^m$ where the V_m's are $n \times n$ matrices over A. Let $X_l = \sum_{v_A(V_m) \leq k-1} V_m T^m$, and put $U_{l+1} = U_l(I_n + X_l)$. Set

$$c_l = \min_{i \leq k-1} \{v_{i,r}(F_l D^{-1} - I_n) - h/(q-1)\}.$$

We now prove by induction that $c_l \geq \frac{l+1}{2}c$, $w_r(F_l D^{-1} - I_n) \geq c + h/(q-1)$ and U_l is invertible over $\mathcal{R}_A^{r,qr}$ for any $l \geq 0$. This is obvious for $l = 0$. Suppose that the claim is true for $l \geq 0$. Then for any $s \in [r, qr]$, since $c_l \geq \frac{l+1}{2}c \geq (q-1)(k-1)$, we have

$$w_s(X_l) \geq (s/r)w_r(X_l) - (s/r-1)(k-1) \geq (s/r)(c_l + h/(q-1)) - (s/r-1)(k-1) > 0.$$

Hence U_{l+1} is also invertible over $\mathcal{R}_A^{[r,qr]}$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
w_r(D\phi(X_l)D^{-1}) &\geq w_r(D) + w_r(\phi(X_l)) + w_r(D^{-1}) \\
&= w_{qr}(X_l) - h \\
&\geq q(c_l + h/(q-1)) - h - (q-1)(k-1) \\
&= qc_l + h/(q-1) - (q-1)(k-1) \\
&\geq c_l + \frac{1}{2}c + h/(q-1) + (\frac{1}{2}c - (q-1)(k-1)) \\
&\geq \frac{(l+2)}{2}c + h/(q-1)
\end{aligned}$$

since $c_l \geq c$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{l+1}D^{-1} - I_n &= (I_n + X_l)^{-1}F_l D^{-1}(I_n + D\phi(X_l)D^{-1}) - I_n \\
&= ((I_n + X_l)^{-1}F_l D^{-1} - I_n) + (I_n + X_l)^{-1}(F_l D^{-1})D\phi(X_l)D^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $w_r(F_l D^{-1}) \geq 0$ and $w_r((I_n+X_l)^{-1}) \geq 0$, we have $w_r((I_n+X_l)^{-1}(F_l D^{-1})D\phi(X_l)D^{-1}) \geq \frac{(l+2)}{2}c + h/(q-1)$. Write

$$\begin{aligned}
(I_n + X_l)^{-1}F_l D^{-1} - I_n &= (I_n + X_l)^{-1}(F_l D^{-1} - I_n - X_l) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}(-X_l)^j(F_l D^{-1} - I_n - X_l).
\end{aligned}$$

For $j \geq 1$, we have

$$w_r((-X_l)^j(F_lD^{-1}-I_n-X_l)) \geq c+c_l+2h/(q-1) > \tfrac{l+2}{2}c+h/(q-1).$$

By definition of X_l, we also have $v_i(F_lD^{-1}-I_n-X_l)=\infty$ for $i \leq k-1$ and $w_r(F_lD^{-1}-I_n-X_l) \geq c+h/(q-1)$. Putting these together, we get that

$$v_{i,r}(F_{l+1}D^{-1}-I_n) \geq \frac{l+2}{2}c+h/(q-1)$$

for any $i \leq k-1$, i.e. $c_{l+1} \geq \frac{l+2}{2}c$, and that $w_r(F_{l+1}D^{-1}-I_n) \geq c+h/(q-1)$. The induction step is finished.

Now since $w_s(X_l) \geq (s/r)(c_l+h/(q-1))$ for $s \in [r,qr]$, and $c_l \to \infty$ as $l \to \infty$, the sequence U_l converges to a limit U, which is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix over $\mathcal{R}_A^{[r,qr]}$ satisfying $w_r(U^{-1}F\phi(U)D^{-1}-I_n) \geq c+h/(q-1)$. Furthermore, we have

$$v_{m,r}(U^{-1}F\phi(U)D^{-1}-I_n)=\lim_{l\to\infty} v_{m,r}(U_l^{-1}F\phi(U_l)D^{-1}-I_n)=\lim_{l\to\infty} v_{m,r}(F_{l+1}D^{-1}-I_n)=\infty,$$

for any $m \leq k-1$. Therefore $U^{-1}F\phi(U)D^{-1}-I_n$ has entries in $\pi_K^k\mathcal{R}_A^{\mathrm{int},r}$. □

The following is an analogue of [4, Lemma 6.2.1].

Lemma 3.8. *In the above lemma, suppose that F and D are both invertible over $\mathcal{R}_A^r$; then U is invertible over $\mathcal{R}_A^{qr}$.*

Proof. Set $B=U^{-1}F\phi(U)D^{-1}$. Then B is invertible over $\mathcal{R}_A^{\mathrm{int},r}$ since $B-I_n$ has entries in $\pi_K\mathcal{R}_A^{\mathrm{int},r}$ and $w_r(B-I_n)>0$. In the equation

$$BD=U^{-1}F\phi(U),$$

we have that F, B and D are all invertible over $\mathcal{R}_A^{[r/q,r]}$. We also have that $\phi(U)$ is invertible over $\mathcal{R}_A^{[r/q,r]}$ since U is invertible over $\mathcal{R}_A^{[r,qr]}$. So U is also invertible over $\mathcal{R}_A^{[r/q,r]}$. Therefore U is in fact invertible over $\mathcal{R}_A^{[r/q,qr]}$. Repeating this argument, we conclude that U is invertible over $\mathcal{R}_A^{[r/q^i,qr]}$ for all positive integers i, yielding the desired result. □

Proposition 3.9. *For any ϕ-modules N_1, N_2 over $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$, the map $\mathrm{Ext}^1(N_1,N_2) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(N_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}} \mathcal{R}_K, N_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}} \mathcal{R}_K)$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let M be an extension of $N_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}} \mathcal{R}_K$ by $N_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}} \mathcal{R}_K$. We pick a basis $\mathbf{e}=\{e_1,e_2,\dots,e_{n_1+n_2}\}$ of M such that $\{e_1,e_2,\dots,e_{n_1}\}$ is a basis of N_1 and $\{e_{n_1+1},e_{n_1+2},\dots,e_{n_1+n_2}\}$ is a lift of a basis of N_2. Then the matrix of ϕ under e is of the form

$$F=\begin{pmatrix} F_{11} & F_{12} \\ 0 & F_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where F_{11} is the matrix of ϕ under $\{e_1,e_2,\dots,e_{n_1}\}$ and F_{22} is the matrix of ϕ under the image of $\{e_{n_1+1},e_{n_2+2},\dots,e_{n_1+n_2}\}$. Suppose F is invertible over $\mathcal{R}_K^{[r,r]}$ for some $r>0$. If we change $\mathbf{e}$ to $\{e_1,e_2,\dots,e_{n_1},\lambda e_{n_1+1},\dots,\lambda e_{n_1+n_2}\}$ for $\lambda \in K^\times$, then F is changed to

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_{11} & \phi(\lambda)F_{12} \\ 0 & (\phi(\lambda)/\lambda)F_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} F_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & (\phi(\lambda)/\lambda)F_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Put $h = -w_r(D) - w_r(D^{-1})$, which is independent of λ. We fix a λ such that $w_r(FD^{-1} - I_{n_1+n_2}) = w_r(\lambda F_{12}F_{22}^{-1}) > h/(q-1)$. Applying Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8, we get an invertible $(n_1+n_2) \times (n_1+n_2)$ matrix U over $\mathcal{R}_K^r$ such that $U^{-1}F\phi(U)D^{-1} - I_{n_1+n_2}$ is over $\mathcal{R}_K^{\mathrm{bd}}$. Moreover, following the construction, U is of the form

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n_1} & * \\ 0 & I_{n_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence the model N of M generated by $\mathbf{e}U$ fits into the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N_1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow N_2 \longrightarrow 0.$$

□

Proposition 3.10. *Every ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_K$ admits a good model.*

Proof. A pure ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_K$ has a unique good model. So by the slope filtration theorem, we only need to prove that if M is an extension of ϕ-modules M_1, M_2 such that the HN-polygon of M is the sum of HN-polygons of M_1, M_2 and the proposition holds for M_1, M_2, then it also holds for M. In fact, suppose that N_1, N_2 are good models of M_1, M_2, then by the proposition above we can find a model N of M such that N is an extension of N_2 by N_1. Note that the generic HN-polygons are additive; we conclude that N is a good model of M. □

Proposition 3.11. *Let M_A be a family of ϕ-modules over A. Then for any $x \in M(A)$ and model N_x of M_x, there is an affinoid neighborhood $M(B)$ of x and a model $N_{B_{k(x)}}$ of $M_{B_{k(x)}} = M_A \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A} \mathcal{R}_{B_{k(x)}}$ such that $(N_{B_{k(x)}})_{x'} = N_x$ for any $x' \in M(B_{k(x)})$ above x under the identification of $(M_{B_{k(x)}})_{x'}$ with M_x, and the generic HN-polygons are constant over $M(B)$.*

Proof. By passing to $A_{k(x)}$, we only need to treat the case that x is rational. We choose a basis $\mathbf{e}_x$ of N_x, and lift it to a basis $\mathbf{e}_A$ of M_A. Let F be the matrix of ϕ under $\mathbf{e}_A$; then F_x is the matrix of ϕ under $\mathbf{e}_x$; hence has entries in $\mathcal{R}_K^{bd}$. Suppose that F and F_x have entries in $\mathcal{R}_A^r$ and $\mathcal{R}_K^r$ respectively for some $r > 0$. Put $h = -w_r(F_x) - w_r((F_x)^{-1})$. Let C_{F_x} be the constant for F_x as in Proposition 3.3. Pick a positive integer $k > C_{F_x} - w(F_x)$ and a positive number $C \geq 2(q-1)(k-1)$. We take the affinoid neighborhood $M(B)$ of x defined by $w_r(F - F_x) > C - w_r((F_x)^{-1}) + h/(q-1)$. So we have $w_r(F - F_x) > C - w_r((F_x)^{-1}) + h/(q-1)$ as matrices over $\mathcal{R}_B^r$. Hence $w_r(F(F_x)^{-1} - I_n) > C + h/(q-1)$. By Lemma 3.7, 3.8, there exists an invertible matrix U over $\mathcal{R}_B^{qr}$ such that $U^{-1}F\phi(U)(F_x)^{-1} - I_n$ has entries in $\pi_K^k\mathcal{R}_B^{\mathrm{int},r}$. This implies that $w((U^{-1}F_y\phi(U))_y - F_x) > C_{F_x}$ for any $y \in M(B)$. Then we have $w(U^{-1}F_y\phi(U) - F_x) \geq k + w(F_x) > C_{F_x}$. So the generic HN-polygon of N_y is the same as the generic polygon of N_x. Furthermore, by the construction of U we have $U_x = I_n$. Therefore the $\mathcal{R}_B^{bd}$-submodule N_B generated by $\mathbf{e}_AU$ is a model satisfying all the desired properties. □

Now for any $y \in M(B_{k(x)})$, N_y is a model of M_y. Since the HN-polygon of M_y lies above the generic HN-polygon of N_y and has the same endpoint, choosing N_x a good model of M_x, we attain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.12. *(Semicontinuity of HN-polygons) Let M_A be a family of ϕ-modules over A. Then for any $x \in M(A)$, there is an affinoid neighborhood $M(B)$ of x such that for any $y \in M(B)$ the HN-polygon of M_y lies above the HN-polygon of M_x and has the same endpoint.*

Proposition 3.13. *For M_A a family of ϕ-modules over $M(A)$, if M_x is pure of slope s for some $x \in M(A)$, then there exists an affinoid neighborhood $M(B)$ of x such that M_B is globally pure of slope s.*

Proof. We only need to treat the case where M_x is étale. Let N'_x be a ϕ-stable $\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{int}}_{k(x)}$-submodule of M_x such that $\phi^* N'_x \cong N'_x$ and $N'x \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{int}}_{k(x)}} \mathcal{R}_{k(x)} = M_x$. Let F_x be the matrix of ϕ under some basis $\mathbf{e}_x$ of N'_x; then F_x is invertible over $\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{int}}_{k(x)}$. Lifting $\mathbf{e}_x$ to a basis $\mathbf{e}_A$ of M_A, let F be the matrix of ϕ under $\mathbf{e}_A$. Applying the argument of Proposition 3.11 we get an affinoid neighborhood $M(B)$ of x and a matrix U over $\mathcal{R}_B$ such that $U^{-1}F\phi(U) - F_x$ is over $\pi_K \mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{int}}_B$. Hence $U^{-1}F\phi(U)$ is invertible over $\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{int}}_B$. Let N'_B be the finite free $\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{int}}_B$-submodule of M_B generated by $\mathbf{e}_A U$. Then N'_B is ϕ-stable, and satisfies $\phi^* N'_B \cong N'_B$. So M_B is globally étale. ☐

Remark 3.14. If ϕ_K acts trivially on A (this is the case in Berger and Colmez's construction; see [1]), for any Berkovich point y of A, taking the spherical completion $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(y)$ of $\mathcal{H}(y)$ with trivial ϕ-action, we set the HN-polygon of M_y as the HN-polygon of $M_y \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}(y)}} \mathcal{R}_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(y)}$. So one can consider the variation of HN-polygons over the Berkovich points of A rather than only over classical points. We expect to have the semicontinuity property in this case. However, to follow the strategy we used for classical points, we meet two difficulties. Namely, we need to verify both the existence of good models and that special HN-polygons lie above generic HN-polygons for the case that the coefficient field is spherically complete. These amount to a generalization of Kedlaya's slope filtration theorem and de Jong's reverse filtration theorem to this case.

Lemma 3.15. *Let M_A be a family of ϕ-modules over A such that M_x is pure of slope s with s independent of $x \in M(A)$. Suppose that N_A is a good model of M_A. Then for any $x \in M(A)$, there exists an affinoid neighborhood $M(B)$ of x such that for every generic point y of $M(B)$, M_y is pure of slope s as ϕ-module over $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}(y)}$, and N_y is its good model.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we suppose that x is rational. Let F be the matrix of ϕ of N_A under some basis. Following Lemma 3.4, we take an affinoid neighborhood $M(B)$ of x such that $w(F_y - F_x) < C_{F_x}$ for any $y \in M(B)$. It follows that $w(F - F_x) < C_{F_x}$ as matrices over $\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{bd}}_B$, hence as matrices over $\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{bd}}_{\mathcal{H}(y)}$ for any generic point y of $M(B)$. Then we get that the generic HN-polygon of N_y is the same as the generic HN-polygon of N_x. Since M_x is pure of slope s, we conclude that M_y is also pure of slope s and that N_y is the good model of M_y. ☐

Corollary 3.16. *Let M_A be a family of ϕ-modules over $M(A)$ such that M_x is pure of the slope s with s independent of $x \in M(A)$. Suppose that N'_A and N''_A are two good models* *of M_A. Then for any $x \in M(A)$, there exists an affinoid neighborhood $M(B)$ of x such that N'_A and N''_A coincide on $M(B)$.*

Proof. Let U be the transformation matrix between N'_A and N''_A under some basis. By the above lemma, we can find an affinoid neighborhood $M(B)$ of x such that for any generic point y of $M(B)$, M_y is pure of slope s, and that both N'_y and N''_y are good models of M_y. Therefore $U_{\mathcal{H}(y)}$ are over $\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{bd}}_{\mathcal{H}(y)}$. Since B can be embedded into the product of $\mathcal{H}(y)$'s for all the generic points y, we conclude that U_B has entries in $\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{bd}}_B$. Hence we have $N'_B = N''_B$. $\square$

Let M_A be a family of ϕ-modules over $M(A)$. By a *global filtration* of M_A, we mean a filtration $0 = M_{0,A} \subset M_{1,A} \cdots \subset M_{l,A} = M_A$ of M_A by ϕ-submodules over $\mathcal{R}_A$ such that each $M_{i,A}$ is a direct summand of M_A as an $\mathcal{R}_A$-module, and that $0 = M_{0,x} \subset M_{1,x} \subset \cdots \subset M_{l,x} = M_x$ is the slope filtration of M_x for any $x \in M(A)$. The global filtration can be viewed as a family version of the slope filtration. It is clear that the global filtration exists only if the HN-polygons are locally constant over $M(A)$.

Corollary 3.17. *(Local uniqueness of global filtrations) Let M_A be a family of ϕ-modules over $M(A)$ such that the HN-polygons of M_x's are constant over $M(A)$. Suppose that $0 = M'_{0,A} \subset M'_{1,A} \subset \cdots \subset M'_{l,A} = M_A$ and $0 = M''_{0,A} \subset M''_{1,A} \cdots \subset M''_{l,A} = M_A$ are two global filtrations of M_A. Then for any $x \in M(A)$, there exists an affinoid neighborhood $M(B)$ of x such that these two filtrations coincide on $M(B)$.*

Proof. We choose two bases of M_A respecting these filtrations. Let U be the transformation matrix between these two bases. Suppose that the slopes of M_x are $s_1, \cdots, s_l$. From Lemma 3.15, we can find an affinoid neighborhood $M(B)$ of x such that for any generic point y of $M(B)$, $M'_{i,y}/M'_{i-1,y}$ and $M''_{i,y}/M''_{i-1,y}$ are pure of slope s_i for any $1 \leq i \leq l$. Therefore $0 = M'_{0,y} \subset M'_{1,y} \subset \cdots \subset M'_{l,y} = M_y$ and $0 = M''_{0,y} \subset M''_{1,y} \subset \cdots \subset M''_{l,y} = M_y$ are both equal to the slope filtration of M_y. We conclude that $U_{\mathcal{H}(y)}$ is upper triangular. Since B can be embedded into the product of $\mathcal{H}(y)$'s for all the generic points y, we conclude that U_B itself is upper triangular. Hence these two filtrations coincide on $M(B)$. $\square$

Conjecture 3.18. *(Local existence of global filtrations) Let M_A be a family of ϕ-modules over A such that the HN-polygons of M_x are constant over $M(A)$. Then for any $x \in M(A)$, there exists an affinoid neighborhood $M(B)$ of x such that M_B admits a global filtration.*

3.3 An example

In this section, we give an example showing that there is no continuity of HN-polygons over either classical points or Berkovich points for families of ϕ-modules. To construct this example, we consider (ϕ, Γ)-modules over $\mathcal{R}_L$ where $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and L is a local field of mixed characteristic $(0, p)$ with possibly imperfect residue field. These ϕ, Γ act trivially on L and $\phi(T) = (1+T)^p - 1$, $\gamma(T) = (1+T)^{\chi(\gamma)} - 1$. This is more general than the usual definition of (φ, Γ)-modules.

Lemma 3.19. *For $f \in \mathcal{R}_L$, if $\phi(f) = \lambda f$ for some $\lambda \in L$, then we must have $\lambda = p^i$ and $f = ct^i$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c \in L$.*

Proof. Write $f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_k T^k$. If f is not in $\mathcal{R}_L^+$, let k_0 be the maximal $k < 0$ such that $a_k \neq 0$. Note that $\phi(T^{-1}) = 1/((1+T)^p - 1) = T^{-p}(1 + pT^{-1} + \cdots + pT^{1-p})^{-1}$. Hence pk_0 is the maximal $k < 0$ such that $\phi(f)$ has nonzero coefficient of T^k. Since $\phi(f) = \lambda f$, we conclude that $pk_0 = k_0$; this is a contradiction. Therefore we have $f \in \mathcal{R}_L^+$ and the lemma follows from [3, Lemma 1.1] (the argument applies to general local fields L). $\square$

Lemma 3.20. *For M a rank 1 (φ, Γ)-module, there exists a generator v such that $\phi(v) = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in L$.*

Proof. Choosing v' a generator of M, suppose $\phi(v') = av', \gamma(v') = bv'$ for some $0 \neq a \in \mathcal{R}_L^{\mathrm{bd}}$. For any $x \in (\mathcal{R}_L^{\mathrm{bd}})^\times$, we can write x uniquely as $x = x^{(0)} T^{k(x)} x^+ x^-$ with $x^{(0)} \in L^\times$, $k(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x^+ \in 1 + T\mathcal{O}_L[[T]]$ and $x^- \in 1 + \mathfrak{m}_L[[T^{-1}]] \cap \mathcal{R}_L^{\mathrm{bd}}$. Moreover, we have $k(\phi(x)) = pk(x)$ and $\gamma(k(x)) = k(x)$. By $\gamma(av') = \phi(bv')$ we get that $\gamma(a)b = \phi(b)a$, yielding $(p-1)k(b) = 0$; hence $k(b) = 0$. Let $a' = a/a^{(0)}, b' = b/b^{(0)}$. We reduce modulo $\mathfrak{m}_L$ the relation $\gamma(a')b' = \phi(b')a'$, yielding $\chi(\gamma)^{k(a)} - 1 \equiv 0 \mod p$; hence $k(a) = (p-1)s$ for some integer s. Let $a'' = a' T^s \phi(T^{-s})$; then $k(a'') = 0$. It is clear that $\prod_{i=0}^n \phi^i(a''^+)$ and $\prod_{i=0}^n \phi^i(a''^-)$ converge in $\mathcal{R}_L^{\mathrm{bd}}$ as $n \to \infty$; let c^+ and c^- denote their limits respectively. Putting $v = (c^+)^{-1}(c^-)^{-1} T^{-s} v'$, we have $\phi(v) = a^{(0)} v$. $\square$

Lemma 3.21. *Let M be a (ϕ, Γ)-module over $\mathcal{R}_L$. Suppose that M satisfies the short exact sequence of (ϕ, Γ)-modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_L(1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{R}_L(-1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then M is étale if and only if the exact sequence is non-split.

Proof. The 'only if' part is obvious. For the 'if' part, we first have $\deg(M) = (-1) + 1 = 0$. If M is not étale, then it has a rank 1 (ϕ, Γ)-submodule N such that $\deg(N) < 0$. Since $\mathcal{R}_L(1)$ is a saturated ϕ-submodule of M of positive slope, we have that $N \cap \mathcal{R}_L(1) = 0$ by Corollary 1.17. This implies that N maps into a ϕ-submodule of $\mathcal{R}_L(-1)$. Pick a generator v of N such that $\phi(v)/v = \lambda \in L$, and let v' be the canonical generators of $\mathcal{R}_L(-1)$. We may suppose that $\beta(v) = fv'$ for some $f \in \mathcal{R}_L$. Then we have

$$p^{-1}\phi(f)v' = \phi(fv') = \phi(\beta(v)) = \beta(\phi(v)) = \beta(\lambda v) = \lambda f v'.$$

Hence $\phi(f) = p\lambda f$. Then by Lemma 3.19, we have that $p\lambda$ must be a nonnegative power of p. Since $v_L(\lambda) < 0$, we conclude that $\lambda = p^{-1}$; then $\phi(f) = f$. This implies that f is a scalar. So β induces an isomorphism between N and $\mathcal{R}_L(-1)$. Hence the exact sequence is split. $\square$

Lemma 3.22. *The natural map $H^1(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(2)) \to H^1(\mathcal{R}_L(2))$ is injective.*

Proof. Let (a, b) represent an element in the kernel; then $a = (\chi^2(\gamma)\gamma - 1)f, b = (p^2\phi - 1)f$ for some $f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i T^i \in \mathcal{R}_L$. The constant term of $(p^2\phi - 1)f$ is $(p^2 - 1)a_0$, yielding $a_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Given $a_{1-j}, \dots, a_0, \dots, a_{j-1} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ for $j \geq 1$, the coefficient of T^j (resp. T^{-pj}) in $(p^2\phi - 1)f$ is $(p^{2+j} - 1)a_j$ (resp. $(p^2 - 1)a_{-j}$) plus linear combinations of $a_1, \dots, a_{j-1}$ (resp. $a_{-1}, \dots, a_{-j+1}$). We get that $a_j \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ (resp. $a_{-j} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$); hence $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, which yields that (a, b) vanishes in $H^1(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(2))$. $\square$

Example 3.23. Let A be the 1-dimensional Tate algebra $K\langle x\rangle$. By [3, Theorem 3.9], we choose (a,b) which is a nonzero element of $H^1(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(2))$. Let M_A be a family of rank 2 (φ,Γ)-modules over A such that the ϕ, γ-actions are given by the matrices $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} p & xa \\ 0 & p^{-1}\end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & pxb \\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$ respectively. Then it is clear that at each Berkovich point y with $\mathcal{H}(y)$ discrete, M_y is an extension of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}(y)}(-1)$ by $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}(y)}(1)$ represented by the cocycle $(p\bar{x}a, p\bar{x}b)$ in $H^1(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}(y)}(1)\otimes\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}(y)}(-1)^\vee) = H^1(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}(y)}(2))$, where $\bar{x}$ is the image of x in $\mathcal{H}(y)$. Since (a,b) is nonzero in $H^1(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}(y)}(2))$, $(p\bar{x}a, p\bar{x}b)$ vanishes if and only if y is the origin. So M_y is étale if and only if y is not the origin. Hence the HN-polygons are not continuous at the origin over either classical points or Berkovich points.

References

[1] Laurent Berger, Pierre Colmez, *Familles de représentations de de Rham et monodromie p-adique*, to appear in the Astérisque.

[2] Vladimir Berkovich, *Spectral theory and analytic geometry over non-Archimedean fields*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 33, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (1990), 169 pp.

[3] Pierre Colmez, *Représentations triangulines de dimension 2*, preprint.

[4] Kiran Kedlaya, *Slope filtrations revised*, Documenta Mathematica 10 (2005), 447-525.

[5] Kiran Kedlaya, *Finiteness of rigid cohomology with coefficients*, Duke Mathematical Journal 134 (2006), 15-97.

[6] Kiran Kedlaya, *Slope filtrations for relative Frobenius*, to appear in the Astérisque.

[7] Kiran Kedlaya, *p-adic differential equation*, preprint available at www.math.mit.edu/∼kedlaya/papers/.

[8] M. Lazard, *Les zéros des fonctions analytiques d'une variable sur un corps valué complet*, Publ. Math. IHÉS 14 (1962), 47-75.